



## Shelter Beds, Transitional Housing Units, SRO Housing in the Survey Cities

City	Shelter Beds	Family Shelter	Transitional	Family	Single Room
		Beds	Units	Transitional	Occupancy Units
				Beds	Units
Boston	2,867	1,138	1,832	451	5,000
Builington	75	69	47	21	142
Cedar Rapids	211	133	395	248	34
Charleston	147	97	192		22
Charlotte	700	570	1,096	420	64
Chicago	5,860	2,966	3,874	2,986	12,932
Cleveland	1,156	291	981	185	50
Denver	1,007	168	452	188	164
Detroit	2,374	1,325	1,240	992	_
Kansas City		294	742	434	
Los Angeles	3,107	594	4,085	829	1,735
Louisville Metro	533	192	.596	422	354
Miami	800	300	1,494	-	
Nashville	$+5.08 \pm 1,111$	241	416	173	160
New Orleans	934	109	1,328	155	894
Norfolk	413	127	138	47	
Philadelphia	2,500	1,600	3,885	1,985	633
Phoenix	1,594	891	4,169	2,511	3,059
Portland	1,005	286	1,670	988	2,251
Providence			: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		
Salt Lake City	970		1,189	260	100
San-Antonio	1,225	525	951	665	88
San Francisco	1,602	353	208	105	10,934
Santa Monica	454,		289	22	175
Seattle	2,723	761	4,038	2,634	1,612
St. Paul			178	198	220
Trenton	597	399	451	1,192	387

## City Data on Homelessness

	Percent Increase in Requests for Emergency Shelter	Percent Increase in Requests by Families for Emergency Shelter	Shelfer Beds	Transitional Housing Units	Family Break-up for Shelter?		Percentage Need Unmet	Turn Away Families?	Turm Others Away?
Boston	0.5	1	increased	decreased	yes	yes	10	yes	yes
Burlington	10	0.6	same	same	no .	. no	32	yes	yes
Cedar Rapids	15	-2	same	same	yes	yes	. 3	yes	yes
Charleston	12	-17	same	increased	no	$_{ m no}$	0:	no	no
Charlotte	4	4	same	same	yes	yes	15	yes	yes
Chicago	-2.3	10	same	same	no	110	0	no	110
Cleveland	2	2	increased	same	no	no	0	no	no
Denver	20	20:	same	same	no	yes	25	yes	yes
Detroit	21	23	same	increased	no	yes	10	yes	yes
Kansas City	9:	na .	increased	increased	no	no	49	yes	yes
Los Angeles	16	13	increased	same	yes	yes	54	yes	yes
Louisville Metro	25	21	same	increased	yes	no	100	yes	yes
Miami	10	20	same	same	yes	yes	10	yes	yes
Nashville	77	15	same	increased	yes	no	10	yes	yes
New Orleans	na	22	same	same	yes	yes	10	. yes	yes
Norfolk	-2	, i 15	same	decreased	: yes	yes	29	yes	yes
Philadelphia	8.5	-1.4	increased	increased	no	no	0	no	no
Phoenix	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	decreased	decreased	no -	no	28	yes	yes:
Portland	4	1	increased	increased	yes	yes	18	yes	yes
Providence	24	6	same	increased	yes	yes	15	yes	yes
Salt Lake City	; 17	23	same	same	no	no	29	yes	yes
San Antonio	12	17	increased	increased	yes	no .	8	yes	yes
San Francisco	na	-20	decreased	increased	no	no	0	yes	no
Santa Monica	=3,14	50	same	same	yes	ÿes	0	yeşi .	yes
Seattle	na	0	increased	increased	yes	yes	0	yes	yes
St. Paul	20	4.	same	same	no -	yes	1	no	yes
Trenton	15	16	increased	increased	yes	no	5	yes	yes

## Composition of the Homeless Population

Family Members who are Children	59	09	65	30	8.2		70	42	0		39	9.6	65	20	55	99	69	0	63	25	.62	43	55	0	24 •	19	75
Single- Parent Families	06	40	83		83	- 62	86	80	0	- 88	61	38	90	06	65	16	93	0	65	0	78	47	09	0	78	78	92
Veterans	17	. 3	13	32	25	2.9	18	14	0	10	15	19	0	[13	25	14	0	0	&	0	&	13	0	0	o.	0	0
Employed Veterans	4	28	50	69	32	25	20	30	0	. 0	16	22	0	12	22	16	0	0	12	0	0		0	0	17	0	0
Substance Abusers	46	18	32	33	55	61:	40	50	0	44	34		0	[5]	42	. 15	0	0	30	0	15	13	0	0	26	0	8
Mentally III	30	20	43	42	28	31/1	25	30:	0	- 76	16	1,4	0		25	10	0	0	18	0	8	61	0	0	22	0	7
Native	1	. 3	2	0	2.5	1.5	0	4	0	0	0.1	7	0.2	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	0.5	0.5	3	3.	5	-
Asian	0	0.5	1	0	2.5	0.4	0	Ties	0	0	2		Ĭ		0.5	0	0	0	2	0	ī	0.5	0.5	2	2		0
Hispanic	18		2		7	8.7	0	, 21	0	0.	33	4	22	4	2	3	9	0 🦟	14	0	21	45	23	[6]	10	9	9
White	39	77	61	46	23	13	0	39	0	0	14	44	31	42	29	24	13	0	62	0	63	35	21	52	34	36	21
African- American	42	8	27	49	65	., 75:	0	. 35	0	0	50	43	46	53	67	73	77	0	19	Ó	7	[9	53	7.24	29	47	72
Youth	_	19	9	1	3	3.5	1	6	0	: 0	5	01	0	-	. 5	0	0	0	2	0	0.02	4	-	0	2	0	
Women	8	4	15	- 8	23	43	20		0	0 ⊱	16	- 18	19	13	16	T T	12	0	21	0	13	12	26	28	13	14	13
Men	53	34	17	. 65	29	4	54	28	0	0	37	95	70	79	4	.56	38	.59	38	0	36	46	69	72	46	-09	35
Families	38	43	62	26	45	12	25	- 58	0	100	41	16	11	8	35	- 33	50	41	39	0	51	38	4	0	24	20	45
Clth	Boston	Burlington	Cedar Rapids	Charleston	Charlotte	Chicago	Cleveland	Denver	Detroit	Kansas City	Los Angeles	Louisville Metro	Miami	Nashville	New Orleans	Norfolk	Philadelphia	Phoenix	Portland	Providence	Salt Lake City	San Antonio	San Francisco	Santa Monica	Seattle	St. Paul	Trenton

## Hunger and Homelessness in America's Cities: A Sixteen-Year Comparison of Data

Veterans	Employed	Substance Abusers	Severely Mentally III	Children	Unaccompanied Youth	Single Women	Families with Children	Single Men	Composition of Homeless Population:	Cities which expect Demand for Shelter to increase next year	Demand for Emergency Sheller Ounter  Others in which Shellers must turn people away	Cities in which Demand in Cleased	Increase in Demand for Emergency Sneller	HOMELESSNESS	next year	Cities which expect demand for Emergency Food to increase	Cities in which Food Assistance Facilities must turn begins away.	Demand for Emergency Food Unmet	with Children	Portion of Those Requesting Food Assistance who are families	Increase in Demand by Families for Food Assistance	ed		HUNGER	Indicator	TIOMAIC H
26%	24%	44%	25%	25%	12%	14%	36%	46%		93%	59%	22%	89%	250%		89%	73%	1/70	1707	£10/	14%	90%	24	1	1989   1990   1991   1992   1993   1994   1995   1990	<b>-</b>
20%	14.5	锚	X								70%	19%	80%	24%		89% 100% 100% 89% 100%	86%	<u>1</u> +70	1 /0 / 1	70%	20%	0%06		2	990 1	5
0/C7 b	Ĭ			2	152					97% 100% 93%	74%	15%	89%	13%		100%	79%		170%	680% 	26%	07.07	7020	300	991	
10/01 10/0	100	% 4170 7 707	,er.5		199.5		188	6 55%		93%		23%	######	14%		89%	68%	71.70	170% 210%	68%	14%	20/0	7020 1070	100/	1 766	
ļ	li i	/0 40/0		2007						88%	77%	25%	81%	10%		100%	68%		16%	67%	13%	0,00	20 cs 50 cs 10 cs	120/	1366	
- 1	%55C %		%0£V %0	5676	7,0%C	Šii 💮	- 1411	6 48% 5007	110111111	6 71%	6 72%	6 21%	80%	13%		81%	79% 68% 68% 73% 59%		15%	ያ.	13% 14%		70E8 70E8	130%	שעעו	
Γ			120		25%			36		1009	82%	19%	63%	11%		96%	59%		18%	63%	10%			200	פעעו	
- 1			133	mai .			7			100% 100%	%18		Links	6 5%		96%	30%		18%	62%	10%	77		11%	0.664	
- [			170	94%	100		1/10/20		11119	%   10	- % - 8	% 2:	LESS.	-						6 58%				16%		<b>a</b>
	2	17%	43%	150000	711	mate)	14%	2/22	707 4	100% 9:	8% 6	27% 26	59% 72%	3% 11		% 96	71% 47% 54%		19% 21	% 6I'	13% 14% 15%			6 14%		
	22% 1	22% 2		terret.			14% 1	380%	450% A	93% 95	7% 7	25				% 84	% 54°		21% 21%	% 58°			dans/ retail	6 18%		
	14% 1	21% 26%			. 18	1313	13%	estivers)	43% 44	92% 72	88% 67% 73% 56% 744%	26% 25% 23%	69% 76% 81%	12% 15%		% 719	% 46%		6 13%	<sub>%</sub> 62%	% 10%		o 83%	17%		
	1	6%	[ ]	22%			13% 1	3%   3 2	44% 4	10		\$ \s 4 \s	1835L	12		100	6 33%		6 14%	6 54	0 1970		930	23%		700
	11%	20%	34%	22%	$\vdash$		14%		40%	72% 100% 100%	13.77	deite.	1555.15	421		92% 96% 84% 71% 100% 100%	% 		8	619% 58% 62% 54% 48% 59% 56%	72		83% 93% 100% 88% 96%	Į į		1007   1008   1999   2000   2001   2002   2003   2004
	10%	22%	32%	23% 23% 23%		3200	13%	The sale	41%		07.10-107HO 07.0C	20%			ļ		32% 56% 48%		16% 1	8% 5!	4,700	170/	)% 88	19% 17		200
	10% 10%	17% 17%	30%	23%	NA NA	5%	14% 14%		41% 4	88% 8	0470	0 7070	200/ 0/06 0/0/ 0/08	13%		87% 88%	6% 4		14% 20%	3% 56	1	120%	% 96	17% 14%		3 200
	10%	17%	30%	23%	NA A	ે5%	4%	40%	41%	88%	1.70	010/	0%	8	1	8%	8		8	%	<u> </u>	<u></u>	8	<u> </u> ∝		Ā